Case: 4:23-cv-02180-BYP Doc #: 20-3 Filed: 02/28/24 1 of 4. PageID #: 239

* Rev. 5/18/2016

ATTACHMENT NO. 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

) CASE NO.	
) Plaintiff,) JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON	
v. DISCOVERY PLAN	
) (See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Defendant.) and <u>LR 16.3(b)(3)</u>)	
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16.3(b)(3), a meeting was he	eld on
, 20, at	and
was attended by:	
counsel for plaintiff(s)	
counsel for plaintiff(s)	
counsel for defendant(s)	
counsel for defendant(s)	
2. The parties recommend the following track:	
Expedited Standard Administrative	
Complex Mass Tort	
3. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dis	pute
Resolution ("ADR") mechanisms:	
Early Neutral Evaluation Summary Jury Trial	
Mediation Summary Bench Trial	
Mediation Summary Bench Trial Arbitration Case is not suitable for A	ADR

THIS DISCOVERY PLAN MUST BE FILED 5 CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE THE CMC

Lead counsel and clients must attend CMC unless explicitly excused. This applies to telephonically held CMC's.

4. The partiesdo/do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United State
Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
5. <u>Initial Disclosures:</u> (check one)
a) Plaintiff made initial disclosures on
and all other parties made initial disclosures on
Objections to initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)are/ are no
made. If there are objections, they are specified along with the identity of the objecting
party in an appendix to this Discovery Plan. The objecting party requests that the Court
rule with respect to these disclosures at the Case Management Conference.
b) Initial Discovery Protocols were entered in this case:
i) The plaintiff's Initial Discovery was provided on
ii) The defendant's Initial Discovery was provided on
c) This is an ERISA case and does not require initial disclosures. S
¶ 6 for suggested briefing schedule.
6. <u>Subsequent proceedings</u> (for ERISA cases):
a) Defendant shall file the entire administrative record by
b) Plaintiff shall file the opening brief contemplated by <u>Wilkins v. Baptist</u>
Healthcare System, Inc., 150 F.3d 609, 619 (6th Cir. 1998) (Gilman, J., concurring) by
c) Defendant shall respond by
d) Plaintiff shall reply by
e) There shall be no discovery in this case except as set forth in <i>Wilkins</i> .

	a)	Recommended Discovery Plan: Describe the subjects on which disco
is to 1	,	that and the nature and extent of discovery, including any limitation on the
	_	nterrogatories, the number and/or length of depositions, and/or the numb
		admission.
	b)	The parties (indicate one):
		agree that there will be no discovery of electronically-stored
		information; or
		have agreed to a method for conducting discovery of electronic
		stored information; or
		have agreed to follow the default standard for discovery of
		electronically-stored information (Appendix K to N.D. Ohio Local R
	c)	The parties have/have not reached an agreement regarding
hand	ling of	disclosed privileged material. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(iv). If the
partie	es have	reached an agreement for asserting claims of privilege or of protection a
trial-	orepara	tion material after information is produced, please provide the agreemen
inclu	ding ag	reements reached under Fed. R. Evid. 502.

	e)	Recommended dispositive motion date:		
	f) Recommended cut-off for amending the pleadings and/or adding			
		tional parties:		
	g)	Recommended date for a Status Conference:		
8.	Other matters for the attention of the Court:			
		Attorney for Plaintiff(s)		
		Attorney for Plaintiff(s)		
		Attorney for Defendant(s)		
		Attorney for Defendant(s)		
		A44 fo D - fo 14(-)		
		Attorney for Defendant(s)		
		Objections, if any, to initial disclosures are appended.		